



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Α	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/020,561	12/07/2001	Joachim Schroeder	LO25-009	8595
	21567 7	7590 07/08/2003			
			REGORY & MATKIN P.S.	EXAMINER	
	601 W. FIRST AVENUE			FULLER, ROD	

SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 2851

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W				
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/020,561	SCHROEDER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rodney E Fuller	2851				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	pril 2003					
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.					
3)□	, 		osecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	•					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ 1	he drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> is/ar		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[] [he proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
•	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)∏ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Tra	demark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

In response to applicant's Amendment, dated April 21, 2003, the examiner acknowledges the addition of claims 17-19. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of claims 1, 3-6, 11-16 as being anticipated by Komoriya, et al. (US 5,025,284), the applicant makes the argument "Komoriya never defines the 'initial selected refractive index'," and "therefore, no relationship can be stated to be taught or suggested between suitable ratio of gases (nitrogen and oxygen) and the 'initial selected refractive index." (Emphasis added) Further, the applicant makes the argument that "in no fair or reasonable interpretation does Komoriya teach or suggest mixing at least two inert gases in such a way that the reflective index resulting therefrom corresponds at least approximately to the refractive index of air as recited in claim 1." (Emphasis added) Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As noted in Komoriya (column 6, lines 33-34) the refractive index of light (D-ray with wavelength of 589.3nm) is 1.000292 in air, 1.000297 in nitrogen, and 1.000272 in oxygen. The examiner maintains that the mixture of nitrogen and oxygen as described by Komoriya would result in a refractive index approximately to the refractive index of air. Furthermore, it can be argued that 100% nitrogen (n=1.000297) or alternatively 100% oxygen (n= 1.000272) has an index of refraction that corresponds at least approximately to the refractive index of air (n=1.000292). (Emphasis added) Further, it is clear from the respective indexes that any ratio mixture of nitrogen and oxygen would result in a refractive index that corresponds at least approximately to the refractive index of air. Thus, the

examiner has considered the applicant's arguments and maintains the rejections set forth in the Office Action mailed January 21, 2003

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 3-6, 11-16 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated 2. by Komoriya, et al. (US 5,025,284).

Regarding claims 1, 11, 12, 15 and 16, Komoriva discloses "...flushing at least one closed internal space of an objective (Fig. 7, ref.# 9), the flushing being performed by mixing at least two inert gasses (column 5, lines 54-55, i.e., oxygen & nitrogen) in such a way that the refractive index resulting therefrom corresponds at least approximately to the refractive index of air (column 6, lines 28-36)."

Regarding claims 3 and 13-16, Komoriya discloses "... wherein the objective is provided as an exposure projection objective for semiconductor lithography." (column 1, line 19)

Regarding claim 4, Komoriya discloses "...wherein in the case of use of two inert flushing gasses the refractive index of one flushing gas is above that of air, and the

refractive index of the second flushing gas is below that of air." (See table 1 and column 5, lines 54-55, i.e., oxygen & nitrogen)

Regarding claim 5, Komoriya discloses "...wherein nitrogen is used as the first flushing gas, and an inert gas is used as second flushing gas. (column 5, lines 54-55 and column 6, lines 64-66)

Regarding claim 6, Komoriya discloses "...wherein helium is used an inert gas." (column 6, line 65)

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Komoriya also discloses "inlet bore" (Fig. 7, ref.# 30) that introduces the gas into the objective's internal space and an "outlet bore" (Fig. 7, ref.# 31) where the gas is removed from the objective's internal space.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komoriya, et al. (US 5,025,284).

Regarding claim 2, Komoriya implies that the gases are mixed in a ratio close to that of air in column 6, lines 22-36. However, Komoriya does not explicitly disclose "...wherein air or synthetic air having 78-80% nitrogen (N2) by volume and 20-22% oxygen (O2) by volume is provided." However, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2851

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the mixture ratio of gasses as claimed, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105, USPQ 233.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Komoriya discloses that "in addition to nitrogen and oxygen" "use can be made of carbon dioxide gas, water vapor, helium, neon, argon, and the like, to adjust the refractive index." (column 6, lines 64-66; emphasis added)

However, Komoriya does not explicitly state that "krypton is used as inert gas" (claim 7) or that "xenon is used as inert gas" (claim 8). As noted in Matsumoto (US 6,411,368) (column 38, lines 29-30), the inert gases include helium, neon, argon, krypton, xenon, and radon. Thus, the selection of any of these known equivalents (i.e., inert gases) would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Komoriya does not explicitly disclose "wherein nitrogen in a volumetric fraction of 95 to 99.5% and helium in a volumetric fraction of 0.5 to 5% are used" (claim 9) or "wherein helium in a volumetric fraction of 1.1 to 1.3, preferably 1.2% is used" (claim 10). However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the mixture ratio of gasses as claimed, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105, USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,561

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rodney Fuller whose telephone number is (703) 306-5641. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Russ Adams, can be reached on (703) 308-2847.

Rodney Fuller

Primary Examiner

July 2, 2003

Page 6